

# Descent Equivalence

Xiuzhan Guo\*

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, York University,  
4700 Keele St., Toronto, Ont., Canada M3J 1P3

Manuela Sobral†

Departamento de Matemática, Universidade de Coimbra, 3000 Coimbra, Portugal

Walter Tholen\*

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, York University,  
4700 Keele St., Toronto, Ont., Canada M3J 1P3

## Abstract

For a  $\mathbf{C}$ -indexed category  $\mathbb{A}$ , an  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent equivalence is a morphism of bundles in  $\mathbf{C}$  which induces an equivalence between the  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent categories of its domain and codomain. In this note, properties of such morphisms are studied, and those morphisms which are  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent equivalences for all  $\mathbf{C}$ -indexed categories  $\mathbb{A}$  are fully characterized.

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* 18A20, 18A25, 18D05, 18D30.

*Key words:* internal category, indexed category, (effective)  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent morphism,  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent (pre-)equivalence, absolute descent equivalence.

---

\*Partial financial assistance through an NSERC Research Grant is acknowledged.

†The hospitality of York University and financial assistance by CMUC/FCT as well as by the EC/Canada project ATLANTIS 98-00-CAN-0017-00 are acknowledged.

**0. Introduction.** Descent Theory was developed by Grothendieck [1], [2] in the context of fibred categories. If the category  $\mathbf{E}$  is fibred over the category  $\mathbf{C}$  with pullbacks, then each morphism  $p : E \rightarrow B$  of  $\mathbf{C}$  is associated with its descent category  $\text{Des}_{\mathbf{E}}(p)$  (see, for example, [4], for details). Having defined descent structures, it seems natural to us to compare two bundles  $(E, p)$  and  $(X, \varphi)$  over  $B$  in the descent sense and to ask:

*When do two bundles  $(E, p)$  and  $(X, \varphi)$  over  $B$  have the “same descent behavior”?*

More clearly, we would like to know *under which conditions a morphism of the two bundles  $(E, p)$  and  $(X, \varphi)$  over  $B$  would render equivalent descent categories.* To this end, we shall examine here for morphisms of bundles the notion of descent equivalence, which was introduced in the first author’s Ph.D. thesis [3], and study its properties.

We formulate this notion in the (essentially equivalent) language of internal categories and of indexed categories (see [5,6,7]), rather than that of fibrations, making extensive use of some of the results of [5], which we recall here in sufficient detail.

After some preliminary observations concerning descent equivalences and their comparison with effective descent morphisms, in Theorem 1 we give a somewhat surprising necessary and sufficient condition for a morphism of bundles to be a descent equivalence (with respect to *all* indexed categories): one just needs the existence of *any* morphism of bundles in the opposite direction. In Theorem 2, we characterize those descent equivalences whose domain or codomain is given by an effective descent morphism.

**Acknowledgement:** We thank the anonymous referee for a suggestion which led to an improved exposition of the first part of the proof of Theorem 1.

**1. Internal categories.** Recall that *an internal category*  $D$  (cf. [6]) in  $\mathbf{C}$  is given by a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & & \xrightarrow{d} & \\
 D_2 & \xrightarrow{m} & D_1 & \xleftarrow{e} & D_0 \\
 & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & & \xleftarrow{c} & \\
 & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

in  $\mathbf{C}$ , which satisfies

- I1.  $de = 1_{D_0} = ce$ ,
- I2.  $dm = d\pi_2$ ,  $cm = c\pi_1$ ,
- I3.  $m(1_{D_1} \times m) = m(m \times 1_{D_1})$ ,
- I4.  $m \langle 1_{D_1}, ed \rangle = 1_{D_1} = m \langle ec, 1_{D_1} \rangle$ ,

where  $D_2$ ,  $\pi_1$ ,  $\pi_2$  are given by the following pullback diagram in  $\mathbf{C}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
D_2 & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & D_1 \\
\pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow c \\
D_1 & \xrightarrow{d} & D_0
\end{array}$$

An *internal functor*  $f : D \rightarrow D'$  between two internal categories  $D, D'$  in  $\mathbf{C}$  is given by two morphisms  $f_0 : D_0 \rightarrow D'_0, f_1 : D_1 \rightarrow D'_1$  of  $\mathbf{C}$  such that

F1.  $f_0 d = d' f_1, f_0 c = c' f_1,$

F2.  $f_1 e = e' f_0, f_1 m = m' f_2,$

where  $f_2 = f_1 \times_{D_0} f_1 : D_1 \times_{D_0} D_1 \rightarrow D'_1 \times_{D'_0} D'_1.$

Composition of internal functors is defined in the obvious way. Hence one obtains  $\mathbf{cat}(\mathbf{C})$ , the category of all internal categories and internal functors in  $\mathbf{C}$ . It is actually a 2-category (see [5]) since one can define the notion of *internal natural transformation*  $\alpha : f \rightarrow g$  of internal functors  $f, g : D \rightarrow D'$ , given by a morphism  $\alpha : D_0 \rightarrow D'_1$  in  $\mathbf{C}$  such that

T1.  $d' \alpha = f_0, c' \alpha = g_0,$

T2.  $m' \langle \alpha c, f_1 \rangle = m' \langle g_1, \alpha d \rangle .$

The *composite*  $\beta \alpha : f \rightarrow h$  of internal natural transformations  $\alpha : f \rightarrow g$  and  $\beta : g \rightarrow h$  is the morphism

$$m' \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle : D_0 \rightarrow D'_1,$$

and the *identity internal natural transformation*  $1_f : f \rightarrow f$  is the morphism

$$e' f_0 : D_0 \rightarrow D'_1.$$

An internal functor  $f : D \rightarrow D'$  of  $\mathbf{C}$  is an *internal category equivalence* if there is an internal functor  $g : D' \rightarrow D$  such that

$$gf \cong 1_D \text{ and } fg \cong 1_{D'}.$$

For example, if  $p : E \rightarrow B$  is a morphism in  $\mathbf{C}$ , then

$$(E \times_B E) \times_E (E \times_B E) \cong E \times_B E \times_B E \begin{array}{ccc} \xrightarrow{\pi_{23}} & & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \\ \xrightarrow{\pi_{13}} & E \times_B E & \xleftarrow{e} \\ \xrightarrow{\pi_{12}} & & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} \end{array} E$$

is an internal category in  $\mathbf{C}$ , where  $e = \langle 1_E, 1_E \rangle$ ,  $(\pi_1, \pi_2)$  is the kernel pair of  $p$ ,  $\pi_{12}$  and  $\pi_{23}$  are such that  $\pi_1 \pi_{23} = \pi_2 \pi_{12}$  (pullback square) and  $\pi_{13} = \langle \pi_1 \pi_{12}, \pi_2 \pi_{23} \rangle$ . This internal category is denoted by  $\text{Eq}(p)$ . Every object  $B$  in  $\mathbf{C}$  can be viewed as a discrete internal category  $B$  of  $\mathbf{C}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
B & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{1_B} \\ \xrightarrow{1_B} \\ \xrightarrow{1_B} \end{array} & B \\
& & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{1_B} \\ \xleftarrow{1_B} \\ \xleftarrow{1_B} \end{array} & B
\end{array}$$

Clearly,  $\text{Eq}(1_B)$  is isomorphic to the above discrete internal category  $B$ .

For any morphism  $q : (E, p) \rightarrow (X, \varphi)$  in  $\mathbf{C}/B$ , as in [5] one constructs the internal functor

$$\tilde{q} : \text{Eq}(p) \rightarrow \text{Eq}(\varphi),$$

where  $\tilde{q}_0 = q$ ,  $\tilde{q}_1 = q \times_B q$ . Then, for a fixed object  $B$  of  $\mathbf{C}$ , the assignments:

$$(E, p) \mapsto \text{Eq}(p) \text{ and } q \mapsto \tilde{q},$$

define the functor

$$\text{Eq}_B : \mathbf{C}/B \rightarrow \mathbf{cat}(\mathbf{C}).$$

**2. Indexed categories.** A  $\mathbf{C}$ -indexed category  $\mathbb{A}$  or a pseudo-functor  $\mathbb{A} : \mathbf{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{CAT}$  (cf. [5,7,8]) consists of the following data:

- for every object  $E$  of  $\mathbf{C}$  a category  $\mathbb{A}^E$
- for every morphism  $f : E \rightarrow D$  of  $\mathbf{C}$  a functor  $f^* : \mathbb{A}^D \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^E$ ,
- for every  $f : E \rightarrow D$ ,  $g : D \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathbf{C}$ , two natural isomorphisms:

$$i^D : 1_{\mathbb{A}^D} \rightarrow (1_D)^*, \quad j^{f,g} : f^* g^* \rightarrow (gf)^*$$

which make the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
f^* & \xrightarrow{f^* i^D} & f^* (1_D)^* \\
\downarrow i^E f^* & \searrow 1_{f^*} & \downarrow j^{f, 1_D} \\
(1_E)^* f^* & \xrightarrow{j^{1_E, f}} & f^*
\end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
f^*g^*h^* & \xrightarrow{f^*j^{g,h}} & f^*(hg)^* \\
\downarrow j^{f,gh^*} & & \downarrow j^{f,hg} \\
(gf)^*h^* & \xrightarrow{j^{gf,h}} & (hgf)^*
\end{array}$$

commute.

For example,

$$\mathbb{A} : \mathbf{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{CAT}$$

given by  $B \mapsto \mathbf{C}/B$  and  $(f : E \rightarrow B) \mapsto f^* : \mathbf{C}/B \rightarrow \mathbf{C}/E$ , the pullback functor along  $f$ , is a  $\mathbf{C}$ -indexed category, also called the *basic  $\mathbf{C}$ -indexed category*.

Let  $D$  be an internal category in  $\mathbf{C}$ . One defines  $\mathbb{A}^D$  (cf. [5]) to be the category with

- objects all pairs of  $(C, \xi)$ , where  $C \in \text{ob}\mathbb{A}^{D_0}$  and  $\xi : d^*C \rightarrow c^*C$  is a morphism in  $\mathbb{A}^{D_1}$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
e^*d^*C & \xrightarrow{e^*\xi} & e^*c^*C \\
\cong \searrow & & \swarrow \cong \\
& C &
\end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & \cong & & \\
& & (\pi_2)^*c^*C & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (\pi_1)^*d^*C & \\
(\pi_2)^*\xi \nearrow & & & & \searrow (\pi_1)^*\xi & \\
(\pi_2)^*d^*C & & & & & (\pi_1)^*c^*C \\
\cong \searrow & & & & \swarrow \cong & \\
m^*d^*C & \xrightarrow{m^*\xi} & m^*c^*C & & &
\end{array}$$

commute, in  $\mathbb{A}^{D_0}$  and  $\mathbb{A}^{D_2}$ , respectively, with the above natural isomorphisms arising from I1 and I2,

- morphisms  $h : (C, \xi) \rightarrow (C', \xi')$  of  $\mathbb{A}^D$  given by morphisms  $h : C \rightarrow C'$  of  $\mathbb{A}^{D_0}$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
d^*C & \xrightarrow{d^*h} & d^*C' \\
\xi \downarrow & & \downarrow \xi' \\
c^*C & \xrightarrow{c^*h} & c^*C'
\end{array}$$

commutes in  $\mathbb{A}^{D_1}$ .

In [5], it was proved that, for every  $\mathbf{C}$ -indexed category  $\mathbb{A} : \mathbf{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{CAT}$ , the extension

$$\mathbb{A} : \mathbf{cat}(\mathbf{C})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{CAT}$$

given by the assignment  $D \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^D$ , is a pseudo-functor of 2-categories. As a consequence, one obtains that for every internal category equivalence  $f : D \rightarrow D'$  of  $\mathbf{C}$ , the functor  $f^* : \mathbb{A}^{D'} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^D$  is an equivalence of categories.

**3. Effective descent, descent equivalence.** Now, let  $\text{Des}_{\mathbb{A}}$  be the pseudo-functor  $\mathbb{A} \circ \text{Eq}_B$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(\mathbf{C}/B)^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\text{Des}_{\mathbb{A}}(\ )} & (\mathbf{C}/B) \setminus \mathbf{CAT} \\
& \searrow \text{Eq}_B & \nearrow \mathbb{A} \\
& & \mathbf{cat}(\mathbf{C})^{\text{op}}
\end{array}$$

The discrete functor  $p : E \rightarrow B$  can be factored as

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
B & \xleftarrow{\bar{p}} & \text{Eq}(p) \\
& \swarrow p & \nearrow \delta \\
& & E
\end{array}$$

where  $\bar{p}_0 = p$ ,  $\bar{p}_1 = p\pi_1 = p\pi_2$ ,  $\delta_0 = 1_E$ ,  $\delta_1 = e = \langle 1_E, 1_E \rangle$ , with  $(\pi_1, \pi_2)$  the kernel pair of  $p$ . Applying  $\mathbb{A}$  to the last diagram, one has a commutative diagram (up to natural isomorphism) in  $\mathbf{CAT}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{A}^B & \xrightarrow{\Phi^p = \bar{p}^*} & \text{Des}_{\mathbb{A}}(p) \\
& \searrow p^* & \nearrow \delta^* \\
& & \mathbb{A}^E
\end{array}$$

$p$  is called an  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent morphism (effective  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent morphism) if the comparison functor  $\Phi^p$  is full and faithful (an equivalence of categories).  $p$  is called an absolute (effective) descent morphism if it is an (effective)  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent morphism for every  $\mathbf{C}$ -indexed category  $\mathbb{A}$ .

For a morphism  $q : (E, p) \rightarrow (X, \varphi)$  in  $\mathbf{C}/B$ , the authors of [9] considered the following diagram in  $\mathbf{cat}(\mathbf{C})$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \text{Eq}(1_B) & \\
 \bar{\varphi} \nearrow & & \nwarrow \bar{p} \\
 \text{Eq}(\varphi) & \xleftarrow{\tilde{q}} & \text{Eq}(p) \\
 \delta_X \uparrow & & \uparrow i_\varphi \\
 \text{Eq}(1_X) & \xleftarrow{\bar{q}} & \text{Eq}(q)
 \end{array} \tag{1}$$

where  $(i_\varphi)_0 = 1_E$ ,  $(i_\varphi)_1 = 1_E \times_\varphi 1_E$ ,  $\tilde{q}_0 = q$ ,  $\tilde{q}_1 = q \times_B q$ ,  $(\delta_X)_0 = 1_X$ ,  $(\delta_X)_1 = \Delta_X$ ,  $\bar{p}_0 = p$ ,  $\bar{p}_1 = p\pi_1 = p\pi_2$ , and where  $(\pi_1, \pi_2)$  is the kernel pair of  $p$ .

Applying  $\mathbb{A}$  to diagram (1), one obtains the following commutative diagram (up to natural isomorphisms) in  $\mathbf{CAT}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mathbb{A}^B & \\
 \Phi^\varphi \nearrow & & \nwarrow \Phi^p \\
 \text{Des}_\mathbb{A}(X, \varphi) & \xrightarrow{\text{Des}_\mathbb{A}(q)} & \text{Des}_\mathbb{A}(E, p) \\
 U^\varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow V^\varphi \\
 \mathbb{A}^X & \xrightarrow{\Phi^q} & \text{Des}_\mathbb{A}(E, q)
 \end{array} \tag{2}$$

where  $U^\varphi = \delta_X^*$ ,  $V^\varphi = i_\varphi^*$ ,  $\Phi^p = \bar{p}^*$ , and  $\text{Des}_\mathbb{A}(q) = \tilde{q}^*$ .

**Definition.** Let  $q : (E, p) \rightarrow (X, \varphi)$  be a morphism in  $\mathbf{C}/B$ . We call  $q$  an  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent equivalence ( $\mathbb{A}$ -descent pre-equivalence) if  $\text{Des}_\mathbb{A}(q)$  is an equivalence of categories (full and faithful). We call

$q$  an absolute descent equivalence (absolute descent pre-equivalence) if  $\text{Des}_{\mathbb{A}}(q)$  is an equivalence of categories (full and faithful) for every  $\mathbf{C}$ -indexed category  $\mathbb{A}$ .

**4. Properties of descent equivalences.** Functoriality of  $\text{Des}_{\mathbb{A}}(\ )$  leads immediately to a number of consequences.

**Proposition 1.** *The morphism  $p : (E, p) \rightarrow (B, 1_B)$  in  $\mathbf{C}/B$  is an  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent pre-equivalence ( $\mathbb{A}$ -descent equivalence) if and only if  $p$  is an  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent (effective  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent) morphism.*

**Proof.** Applying  $\text{Eq}$  to the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 E & \xrightarrow{p} & B \\
 & \searrow p & \swarrow 1_B \\
 & B & 
 \end{array}$$

we obtain the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \text{Eq}(1_B) & \\
 \bar{p} \nearrow & & \nwarrow \bar{1}_B \\
 \text{Eq}(p) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} & \text{Eq}(1_B)
 \end{array}$$

Clearly, with the notation of the previous section,  $\bar{1}_B = 1_{\text{Eq}(1_B)}$ ,  $\tilde{p} = \bar{p}$ . Hence,  $\tilde{p}^*$  is an equivalence of categories if and only if  $\bar{p}^*$  is an equivalence of categories, as desired.  $\square$

One also easily obtains:

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $q : (E, p) \rightarrow (X, \varphi)$ ,  $r : (X, \varphi) \rightarrow (Y, \xi)$  be morphisms in  $\mathbf{C}/B$ .*

- (1) *If two of  $q$ ,  $r$ , and  $rq$  are  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent equivalences, so is the third one.*
- (2) *If  $r$  is an  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent equivalence, then  $q$  is an  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent pre-equivalence if and only if  $rq$  is an  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent pre-equivalence.*  $\square$

It is also easy to show that  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent (pre-)equivalences have the intended invariance property:



**Proposition 3.** *Let  $q : (E, p) \rightarrow (X, \varphi)$  be an  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent pre-equivalence ( $\mathbb{A}$ -descent equivalence) in  $\mathbf{C}/B$ . Then  $p$  is an  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent (effective  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent) morphism if and only if  $\varphi$  is an  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent (effective  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent) morphism.*

**Proof.** By Diagram (2),  $\text{Des}_{\mathbb{A}}(q)\Phi^\varphi = \Phi^p$  (up to natural isomorphism). If  $q$  is an  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent equivalence, then  $\text{Des}_{\mathbb{A}}(q)$  is an equivalence of categories. Therefore,  $\Phi^\varphi$  is an equivalence of categories if and only if  $\Phi^p$  is an equivalence of categories. Hence  $p$  is an effective  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent morphism if and only if  $\varphi$  is an effective  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent morphism.

Suppose now that  $q$  is an  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent pre-equivalence. Then  $\text{Des}_{\mathbb{A}}(q)$  is full and faithful. If  $\varphi$  is  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent pre-equivalence morphism, then  $\Phi^p = \text{Des}_{\mathbb{A}}(q)\Phi^\varphi$  (up to isomorphism) is full and faithful. Hence  $p$  is an  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent morphism. On the other hand, if  $p$  is  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent morphism, then  $\text{Des}_{\mathbb{A}}(q)\Phi^\varphi = \Phi^p$  (up to isomorphism) is full and faithful, and so is  $\Phi^\varphi$ .  $\square$

**5. A necessary and sufficient condition for absolute descent equivalences.** In any category, the absolutely effective descent morphisms are precisely the split epimorphisms [5]. A characterization of the absolute descent equivalences is given by the following:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $q : (E, p) \rightarrow (X, \varphi)$  be a morphism in  $\mathbf{C}/B$ . Then  $q$  is an absolute descent equivalence if and only if there is any morphism  $s : (X, \varphi) \rightarrow (E, p)$  in  $\mathbf{C}/B$ .*

**Proof.**  $\Leftarrow$ : By hypothesis, we have

$$p = \varphi q \text{ and } ps = \varphi.$$

So there exist two internal functors

$$\tilde{s} : \text{Eq}(\varphi) \rightarrow \text{Eq}(p) \text{ and } \tilde{q} : \text{Eq}(p) \rightarrow \text{Eq}(\varphi).$$

We claim that  $\tilde{s}\tilde{q} \cong 1_{\text{Eq}(p)}$  and  $\tilde{q}\tilde{s} \cong 1_{\text{Eq}(\varphi)}$ . In order to prove this it suffices to construct natural transformations between the respective pairs of functors since all natural transformations between internal functors whose codomain is a groupoid are natural isomorphisms. To this end we define  $\alpha : \tilde{s}\tilde{q} \rightarrow 1_{\text{Eq}(p)}$  by

$$\alpha = \langle 1_E, sq \rangle : E \rightarrow E \times_B E \text{ in } \mathbf{C}.$$

It is easy to check that

$$\pi_2\alpha = sq, \pi_1\alpha = 1_E,$$

and

$$\pi_{13} \langle \alpha\pi_1, (s \times_B s)(q \times_B q) \rangle = \pi_{13} \langle 1_{E \times_B E}, \alpha\pi_2 \rangle.$$

Hence  $\alpha$  is an internal natural transformation.

Similarly one shows that  $\beta : 1_{\text{Eq}(\varphi)} \rightarrow \tilde{q}\tilde{s}$ , given by  $\beta = \langle qs, 1_X \rangle : X \rightarrow X \times_B X$ , is an internal natural transformation. Therefore,  $\text{Des}_{\mathbb{A}}(q)$  is an equivalence of categories.

$\implies$ : We show more precisely:

- (1) If  $\text{Des}_{\mathbb{A}}(q)$  is essentially surjective on objects for every  $\mathbf{C}$ -indexed category  $\mathbb{A}$ , then there is a morphism  $s : X \rightarrow E$  in  $\mathbf{C}$  with  $psq = p$ ;
- (2) If, furthermore,  $\text{Des}_{\mathbb{A}}(q)$  is full and faithful for every  $\mathbb{A}$ , then  $s$  of (1) yields a morphism  $s : (X, \varphi) \rightarrow (E, p)$  in  $\mathbf{C}/B$ .

- (1) Consider the  $\mathbf{C}$ -indexed category  $\mathbb{A}_p$  of Theorem 3.5 [5]:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{C}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{A}_p} & \mathbf{CAT} \\
 A & \mapsto & \mathbf{C}(A, E) \\
 \uparrow t & & \uparrow t^* \\
 B & \mapsto & \mathbf{C}(B, E)
 \end{array}$$

where  $\mathbb{A}_p^A = \mathbf{C}(A, E)$  carries an equivalence relation given by

$$u \sim v \Leftrightarrow pu = pv,$$

making it a category (in fact, a groupoid), and where  $t^* : \mathbf{C}(B, E) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}(A, E)$  is the composition functor with  $t$ . Since

$$p\pi_1 = p\pi_2, \pi_1^*(1_E) = \pi_1 \sim \pi_2 = \pi_2^*(1_E),$$

the object  $1_E$  of  $\mathbb{A}_p^E$  has a descent structure  $\xi : \pi_2^*(1_E) \rightarrow \pi_1^*(1_E)$ , where  $(\pi_1, \pi_2)$  is the kernel pair of  $p$ . Hence, by diagram (2) and the proof of Theorem 2.5 [5],

$$V^\varphi(1_E, \xi) = (i_\varphi)^*(1_E, \xi) = ((1_E)^*(1_E), \xi_{i_\varphi}) = (1_E, \xi') \in \text{Des}_{\mathbb{A}_p}(E, q).$$

But  $\text{Des}_{\mathbb{A}_p}(q)$  is essentially surjective, so there is  $(s, \mu) \in \text{Des}_{\mathbb{A}_p}(X, \varphi)$  such that

$$\text{Des}_{\mathbb{A}_p}(q)(s, \mu) \cong (1_E, \xi),$$

and therefore

$$V^\varphi \text{Des}_{\mathbb{A}_p}(q)(s, \mu) \cong V^\varphi(1_E, \xi) = (1_E, \xi').$$

That is

$$\Phi^q U^\varphi(s, \mu) \cong (1_E, \xi').$$

But  $\Phi^q$  is just a lifting of  $q^*$ ,

$$q^* U^\varphi(s, \mu) \cong \delta^* \Phi^q U^\varphi(s, \mu) \cong \delta^*(1_E, \xi').$$

Hence

$$q^* s \sim 1_E \text{ in } \mathbb{A}_p^E,$$

and therefore

$$psq = p.$$

(2) In order to prove that  $ps = \varphi$ , again, we consider the  $\mathbf{C}$ -indexed category  $\mathbb{B} : \mathbf{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{CAT}$  of Theorem 3.5 of [5] with  $\mathbb{B}^A = \mathbf{C}(A, B)$  considered a discrete category, for every  $A \in \mathbf{C}$ , and with  $t^*$  the composition functor with  $t$ , for every  $t : A \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathbf{C}$ . It is easy to check that  $(ps, 1)$  and  $(\varphi, 1)$  are objects of  $\text{Des}_{\mathbb{B}}(X, \varphi)$  and that

$$\text{Des}_{\mathbb{B}}(q)(ps, 1) = \text{Des}_{\mathbb{B}}(q)(\varphi, 1) = (p, 1),$$

by the fact that  $psq = p$ . Since  $\text{Des}_{\mathbb{B}}(q)$  is full and faithful,  $(ps, 1)$  is isomorphic to  $(\varphi, 1)$ , which yields

$$ps = \varphi.$$

□

From Theorem 1 one obtains:

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $q : E \rightarrow X$  and  $\varphi : X \rightarrow B$  be two morphisms of  $\mathbf{C}$ . Then  $q : (E, \varphi q) \rightarrow (X, \varphi)$  is an absolute descent equivalence if and only if there is a morphism  $s : X \rightarrow E$  in  $\mathbf{C}$  such that  $\varphi q s = \varphi$*

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $q : (E, p) \rightarrow (X, \varphi)$  be a morphism in  $\mathbf{C}/B$ . Then  $q$  is an absolute descent equivalence if either  $q$  is a split epimorphism in  $\mathbf{C}$  or  $q$  is a split monomorphism in  $\mathbf{C}/B$ .*

**Remark.** Corollary 1 implies in particular that split epimorphisms are the absolutely effective descent morphisms (see Thm. 3.5 of [5]). In fact, if  $p : E \rightarrow B$  has a splitting  $s$  with  $ps = 1_B$ , then we may apply Corollary 1 to  $p : (E, p) \rightarrow (B, 1_B)$ , so that with  $1_B$  also  $p$  is an absolute effective descent morphisms (i.e., effective descent w.r.t. every  $\mathbf{C}$ -indexed category  $\mathbb{A}$ ), by Proposition 3.

**6. Descent equivalences whose domain or codomain is effective descent.** With the help of Corollary 2, Proposition 3 can be refined, as follows. Given any morphism  $q : (E, p) \rightarrow (X, \varphi)$  in  $\mathbf{C}/B$ , we form the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & E \times_B X & \\
 \pi_1 \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_2 \\
 E & & X \\
 p \searrow & & \swarrow \varphi \\
 & B & 
 \end{array} \tag{3}$$

in which  $\pi_1$  is a split epimorphism. Hence  $\pi_1 : (E \times_B X, p\pi_1) \rightarrow (E, p)$  is an absolute descent equivalence, by Corollary 2.

**Theorem 2.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $p$  is an effective  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent morphism and  $\pi_2 : (E \times_B X, p\pi_1) \rightarrow (X, \varphi)$  is an  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent equivalence,
- (ii)  $\varphi$  is an effective  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent morphism, and  $q : (E, p) \rightarrow (X, \varphi)$  is an  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent equivalence.

**Proof.** (i) $\implies$ (ii): By Prop.1,  $p : (E, p) \rightarrow (B, 1_B)$  is an  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent equivalence. Since  $\pi_1$  is an  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent equivalence, also  $p\pi_1 = \varphi\pi_2 : (E \times_B X, p\pi_1) \rightarrow (B, 1_B)$  is an  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent equivalence, and so is  $\varphi : (X, \varphi) \rightarrow (B, 1_B)$ , by Prop.2 and the hypothesis on  $\pi_2$ . Then, another application of Propositions 1 and 2 gives (ii).

(ii) $\implies$ (i): By Prop.3,  $p$  is an effective  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent morphism. As before then,  $p\pi_1 = \varphi\pi_2$  is an  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent equivalence, and so are  $q$  (by hypothesis),  $p$ ,  $\varphi$ , and then  $\pi_2$ , by repeated application of Propositions 1 and 2.  $\square$

**Remark.** We note that in (i) it is enough to assume that  $\text{Des}_{\mathbb{A}}(\pi_2)$  be full and faithful, rather than an equivalence of categories. Indeed, since  $\pi_1$  is an  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent equivalence, also  $p\pi_1 = \varphi\pi_2$  is an  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent equivalence when  $p$  is an effective  $\mathbb{A}$ -descent morphism, which implies  $\text{Des}_{\mathbb{A}}(\pi_2)$  is essentially surjective on objects.

If  $\mathbb{A}$  is the basic fibration, Theorem 2 may be simplified, as follows:

**Corollary 3.** *For any morphism  $q : (E, p) \rightarrow (X, \varphi)$  in  $\mathbf{C}/B$ ,  $p$  is an effective descent morphism if and only if  $\varphi$  is an effective descent morphism and  $q : (E, p) \rightarrow (X, \varphi)$  is a descent equivalence.*

**Proof.** Using pullback-stability of effective descent morphisms (see [10]) and the composition-cancellation rule of [9], for “only if” one can argue as in (i) $\implies$ (ii) of Theorem 3. Likewise for “if”.  $\square$

## References

- [1] A. Grothendieck, *Technique de descente et théorèmes d'existence en géométrie algébrique, I. Généralités. Descente par morphismes fidèlement plats*, Séminaire Bourbaki 190, 1959.
- [2] A. Grothendieck, *Catégories fibrées et descente*, Exposé VI, in: *Revêtements Etales et Groupe Fondamental (SGA1)*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 224, Springer (Berlin), 1971, 145-194.
- [3] Xiuzhan Guo, *Monadicity, Purity, and Descent equivalence*, Ph.D. thesis, York University, 2000.
- [4] G. Janelidze and W. Tholen, *Facets of descent, I*, *Appl. Categorical Structures* **2** (1994), 1-37.
- [5] G. Janelidze and W. Tholen, *Facets of descent, II*, *Appl. Categorical Structures* **5** (1997), 229-248.
- [6] P.T. Johnstone, *Topos Theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1977.
- [7] S. Mac Lane and R. Paré, *Coherence in bicategories and indexed categories*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **37** (1985), 59-80.
- [8] R. Paré and D. Schumacher, *Abstract families and the adjoint functor theorem*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 661, Springer (Berlin), 1978, 1-125.
- [9] J. Reiterman, M. Sobral, and W. Tholen, *Composites of effective descent maps*, *Cahiers Topologie Géom. Différentielle Catégoriques* **34** (1993), 193-207.
- [10] M. Sobral and W. Tholen, *Effective descent morphisms and effective equivalence relations*, *CMS Conference Proceedings*, vol.13 (AMS, Providence, R.I., 1992), 421-433.