Exponentiability in categories of lax algebras

Maria Manuel Clementino, Dirk Hofmann and Walter Tholen^{*}

Dedicated to Nico Pumplün on the occasion of his seventieth birthday

Abstract

For a complete cartesian-closed category V with coproducts, and for any pointed endofunctor *T* of the category of sets satisfying a suitable Beck-Chevalley-type condition, it is shown that the category of lax reflexive (T, V) -algebras is a quasitopos. This result encompasses many known and new examples of quasitopoi.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 18C20, 18D15, 18A05, 18B30, 18B35.

Key words: lax algebra, partial product, locally cartesian-closed category, quasitopos.

0 Introduction

Failure to be cartesian closed is one of the main defects of the category of topological spaces. But often this defect can be side-stepped by moving temporarily into the quasitopos hull of Top, the category of pseudotopological (or Choquet) spaces, see for example [11, 14, 7]. A pseudotopology on a set X is most easily described by a relation $\mathfrak{x} \to x$ between ultrafilters \mathfrak{x} on X and points *x* in *X*, the only requirement for which is the *reflexivity* condition $\dot{x} \to x$ for all $x \in X$, with \dot{x} denoting the principal ultrafilter on *x*. In this setting, a topology on *X* is a pseudotopology which satisfies the *transitivity* condition

$$
\mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{y} \And \mathfrak{y} \to z \;\Rightarrow\; m(\mathfrak{X}) \to z
$$

for all $z \in X$, $y \in UX$ (the set of ultrafilters on X) and $\mathfrak{X} \in UUX$; here the relation \rightarrow between *UX* and *X* has been naturally extended to a relation between *UUX* and *UX*, and $m = m_X : UUX \to UX$ is the unique map that gives *U* together with $e_X(x) = \mathbf{x}$ the structure of a monad $U = (U, e, m)$. Barr [2] observed that the two conditions, reflexivity and transitivity, are precisely the two basic laws of a lax Eilenberg-Moore algebra when one extends the Setmonad U to a lax monad of Rel(Set), the category of sets with relations as morphisms. In [9] Barr's presentation of topological spaces was extended to include Lawvere's presentation of metric spaces as V-categories with $V = \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$, the extended real half-line. Thus, for any symmetric monoidal category V with coproducts preserved by the tensor product, and for any Set-monad T that suitably extends from Set-maps to all V-matrices (or "V-relations", with

[⇤]The authors acknowledge partial financial assistance by Centro de Matem´atica da Universidade de Coimbra/FCT, Centro de Matemática da Universidade de Aveiro/FCT and NSERC.

ordinary relations appearing for $V = 2$, the two-element chain), the paper [9] develops the notion of reflexive and transitive (T, V) -algebra, investigates the resulting category $\text{Alg}(T, V)$, and presents many examples, in particular $\text{Top} = \text{Alg}(U, 2)$.

The purpose of this paper is to show that dropping the transitivity condition leads us to a quasitopos not only in the case of Top, but rather generally. In order to define just reflexive (T*,* V)-algebras, one indeed needs neither the tensor product of V (just the "unit" object) nor the "multiplication" of the monad T. Positively speaking then, we start off with a category V with coproducts and a distinguished object *I* in V and any pointed endofunctor *T* of Set and define the category $\text{Alg}(T, V)$. Our main result says that when V is complete and locally cartesian closed and a certain Beck-Chevalley condition is satisfied, also $\text{Alg}(T, V)$ is locally cartesian closed (Theorem 2.7).

Defining reflexive (T, V) -algebras for the "truncated" data T, V entails a considerable departure from [9], as it is no longer possible to talk about the bicategory $\text{Mat}(\mathbf{V})$ of **V**-matrices. The missing tensor product prevents us from being able to introduce the (horizontal) matrix composition; however, "whiskering" by **Set-**maps (considered as 1-cells in $Mat(V)$) is still well-defined and well-behaved, and this is all that is needed in this paper.

We explain the relevant properties of $Mat(V)$ in Section 1 and define the needed Beck-Chevalley condition. Briefly, this condition says that the comparison map that "measures" the extent to which the *T*-image of a pullback diagram in Set still is a pullback diagram must be a lax epimorphism when considered a 1-cell in $\text{Mat}(\mathbf{V})$. Having presented our main result, at the end of Section 2 we show that this condition is equivalent to asking *T* to preserve pullbacks *or*, if V is thin (i.e., a preordered class), to transform pullbacks into weak pullback diagrams (barring trivial choices for *I* and V). In certain cases, (BC) turns out to be even a necessary condition for local cartesian closedness of $\text{Alg}(T, V)$, see 2.10. In Section 3 we show how to construct limits and colimits in $\text{Alg}(T, V)$ in general, and Section 4 presents the construction of partial map classifiers, leading us to the theorem stated in the Abstract. A list of examples follows in Section 5.

Acknowledgements. We dedicate this paper in great admiration to Nico Pumplün with whom the third-named author started off studying Lawvere-Linton theories and monads in the late sixties. The authors are also grateful to Ross Street and Richard Wood for pointing them to their respective articles [15] and [3].

1 V-matrices

1.1 Let V be a category with coproducts and a distinguished object *I*. A V*-matrix* (or V*relation*) *r* from a set *X* to a set *Y*, denoted by $r : X \rightarrow Y$, is a functor $r : X \times Y \rightarrow V$, i.e. an *X* \times *Y*-indexed family $(r(x, y))_{x,y}$ of objects in **V**. With *X*, *Y* fixed, such **V**-matrices form the objects of a category $\text{Mat}(\mathbf{V})(X, Y)$, the morphisms $\varphi : r \to s$ of which are natural transformations, i.e. families $(\varphi_{x,y}: r(x,y) \to s(x,y))_{x,y}$ of morphisms in **V**; briefly,

$$
Mat(V)(X,Y) = \mathbf{V}^{X \times Y}.
$$

1.2 Every Set-map $f: X \to Y$ may be considered as a V-matrix $f: X \to Y$ when one puts

$$
f(x,y) = \begin{cases} I & \text{if } f(x) = y, \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases}
$$

with 0 denoting a fixed initial object in V . This defines a functor

$$
Set(X, Y) \longrightarrow Mat(V)(X, Y),
$$

of the discrete category $\mathbf{Set}(X, Y)$, and the question is: when do we obtain a full embedding, for all *X* and *Y*? Precisely when

(*)
$$
V(I, 0) = \emptyset
$$
 and $|V(I, I)| = 1$,

as one may easily check. In the context of a cartesian-closed category V, we usually pick for *I* a terminal object 1 in V , and then condition $(*)$ is equivalently expressed as

$$
(**) 0 \not\cong 1,
$$

preventing V from being equivalent to the terminal category.

1.3 While in this paper we do not need the horizontal composition of V-matrices in general, we do need the composites *sf* and *gr* for maps $f : X \to Y$, $g : Y \to Z$ and **V**-relations $r : X \to Y$, $s: Y \rightarrow Z$, defined by

$$
(sf)(x, z) = s(f(x), z),
$$

\n
$$
(gr)(x, z) = \sum_{y : g(y) = z} r(x, y),
$$

for $x \in X$, $z \in Z$; likewise for morphisms $\varphi : r \to r'$ and $\psi : s \to s'$. Hence, we have the "whiskering" functors

$$
-f: \text{Mat}(\mathbf{V})(Y, Z) \to \text{Mat}(\mathbf{V})(X, Z),
$$

$$
g-: \text{Mat}(\mathbf{V})(X, Y) \to \text{Mat}(\mathbf{V})(X, Z).
$$

The horizontal composition with **Set**-maps from either side is associative up to coherent isomorphisms whenever defined; hence, if $h: U \to X$ and $k: Z \to V$, then

$$
(sf)h = s(fh)
$$
 and $k(gr) \cong (kg)r$.

Although Mat (V) falls short of being a bicategory, even a sesquicategory [15], we refer to sets as 0-cells of $Mat(V)$, V-matrices as its 1-cells, and natural transformations between them as its 2-cells.

1.4 The transpose r° : $Y \nrightarrow X$ of a **V**-matrix $r : X \nrightarrow Y$ is defined by $r^{\circ}(y, x) = r(x, y)$ for all $x \in X$, $y \in Y$. Obviously $r^{\infty} = r$, and with

$$
(sf)^\circ = f^\circ s^\circ, \ (gr)^\circ = r^\circ g^\circ
$$

we can also introduce whiskering by transposes of **Set**-maps from either side, also for 2-cells.

A Set-map $f: X \to Y$ gives rise to 2-cells

$$
\eta: 1_X \to f^{\circ} f, \ \varepsilon: ff^{\circ} \to 1_Y
$$

satisfying the triangular identities $(\varepsilon f)(f\eta) = 1_f$, $(f^{\circ}\varepsilon)(\eta f^{\circ}) = 1_f$.

1.5 For a functor $T : Set \to Set$, we denote by $\kappa : TW \to U$ the comparison map from the *T*-image of the pullback $W := Z \times_Y X$ of (g, f) to the pullback $U := TZ \times_{TZ} TX$ of (Tg, Tf)

We say that the **Set**-functor *T* satisfies the *Beck-Chevalley Condition (BC)* if the 1-cell κ is a lax epimorphism; that is, if the "whiskering" functor $-\kappa$: Mat $(\mathbf{V})(TW, S) \to \text{Mat}(\mathbf{V})(U, S)$ is full and faithful, for every set *S*.

In the next section we will relate this condition with other known formulations of the Beck-Chevalley condition.

2 Local cartesian closedness of Alg(*T,* V)

2.1 Let (T,e) be a pointed endofunctor of **Set** and **V** category with coproducts and a distinguished object *I*. A *lax* (*reflexive*) (T, V) *-algebra* (X, a, η) is given by a set X, a 1-cell $a: TX \nightharpoonup X$ and a 2-cell $\eta: 1_X \nightharpoonup ae_X$ in Mat(V). The 2-cell η is completely determined by the V-morphisms

$$
\eta_x := \eta_{x,x} : I \longrightarrow a(e_X(x), x),
$$

 $x \in X$. As we shall not change the notation for this 2-cell, *we write* (X, a) *instead of* (X, a, η) . A (*lax*) *homomorphism* $(f, \varphi) : (X, a) \to (Y, b)$ of (T, V) -algebras is given by a map $f : X \to Y$ in Set and a 2-cell $\varphi : fa \to b(Tf)$ which must preserve the units: $(\varphi e_X)(f\eta) = \eta f$. The 2-cell φ is completely determined by a family of **V**-morphisms

$$
f_{\mathfrak{x},x}: a(\mathfrak{x},x) \longrightarrow b(Tf(\mathfrak{x}),f(x)),
$$

 $x \in X$, $\mathfrak{x} \in TX$, and preservation of units now reads as $f_{e_X(x),x}\eta_x = \eta_{f(x)}$ for all $x \in X$. For simplicity, we write f instead of (f, φ) , and when we write

$$
f_{\mathfrak{x},x}: a(\mathfrak{x},x) \longrightarrow b(\mathfrak{y},y)
$$

this automatically entails $\mathfrak{y} = Tf(\mathfrak{x})$ *and* $y = f(x)$; these are the V*-components* of the homomorphism *f*. Composition of (f, φ) with $(g, \psi) : (Y, b) \to (Z, c)$ is defined by

$$
(g, \psi)(f, \varphi) = (gf, (\psi(Tf))(g\varphi))
$$

which, in the notation used more frequently, means

$$
(gf)_{\mathfrak{x},x} = (a(\mathfrak{x},x) \xrightarrow{f_{\mathfrak{x},x}} b(\mathfrak{y},y) \xrightarrow{g_{\mathfrak{y},y}} c(\mathfrak{z},z)).
$$

We obtain the category $\text{Alg}(T, V)$ (denoted by $\text{Alg}(T, e; V)$ in [9]).

2.2 Let V be finitely complete. The pullback (W, d) of $f : (X, a) \to (Z, c)$ and $g : (Y, b) \to (Z, c)$ in Alg(*T*, **V**) is constructed by the pullback $W = X \times ZY$ in Set and a family of pullback diagrams in V, as follows:

$$
d(\mathfrak{w}, w) \xrightarrow{f'_{\mathfrak{w}, w}} b(\mathfrak{y}, y)
$$

$$
g'_{\mathfrak{w}, w} \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow g_{\mathfrak{y}, y}
$$

$$
a(\mathfrak{x}, x) \xrightarrow{f_{\mathfrak{x}, x}} c(\mathfrak{z}, z)
$$

for all $w \in W$; hence,

$$
d(\mathfrak{w}, w) = a(Tg'(\mathfrak{w}), g'(w)) \times_c b(Tf'(\mathfrak{w}), f'(w))
$$

in V, where $g' : W \to X$ and $f' : W \to Y$ are the pullback projections in Set. For each $w = (x, y)$ in *W*, we define $\eta_w := \langle \eta_x, \eta_y \rangle$.

2.3 Every set *X* carries the *discrete* (T, V) -*structure* e_X° . In fact, the 2-cell $\eta: 1_X \to e_X^{\circ}e_X$ making (X, e_X°) a (T, V) -algebra is just the unit of the adjunction $e_X + e_X^{\circ}$ in Mat(V). Now $X \mapsto (X, e_X^{\circ})$ defines the left adjoint of the forgetful functor

$$
Alg(T, V) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}
$$

since every map $f: X \to Y$ into a (T, V) -algebra (Y, b) becomes a homomorphism $f: (X, e_X^{\circ}) \to$ (Y, b) ; indeed the needed 2-cell $fe^{\circ}_X \to b(Tf)$ is obtained from the unit 2-cell $\eta: 1 \to be_Y$ with the adjunction $e_X \dashv e_X^{\circ}$: it is the mate of $f \eta : f \to be_Y f = b(Tf)e_X$. In pointwise notation, for

$$
f_{\mathfrak{x},x}: e_X^{\circ}(\mathfrak{x},x) \longrightarrow b(\mathfrak{y},y)
$$

one has $f_{\mathfrak{x},x} = 1_I$ if $e_X(x) = \mathfrak{x}$; otherwise its domain is the initial object 0 of **V**, i.e. it is *trivial*.

2.4 We consider the discrete structure in particular on a one-element set 1. Then, for every (T, V) -algebra (X, a) , an element $x \in X$ can be equivalently considered as a homomorphism $x : (1, e_1^{\circ}) \to (X, a)$ whose only non-trivial component is the unit $\eta_x : I \to a(e_X(x), x)$.

2.5 Assume V to be complete and locally cartesian closed. For a homomorphism $f : (X, a) \rightarrow$ (Y, b) and an additional (T, V) -algebra (Z, c) we form a substructure of the partial product of the underlying Set-data (see [10]), namely

$$
Z \xleftarrow{\text{ev}} Q \xrightarrow{q} X
$$

\n
$$
f' \downarrow \qquad \qquad f
$$

\n
$$
P \xrightarrow{p} Y,
$$
\n(2)

with

$$
P = Z^f = \{(s, y) | y \in Y, s : (X_y, a_y) \to (Z, c)\},\
$$

$$
Q = Z^f \times_Y X = \{(s, x) | x \in X, s : (X_{f(x)}, a_{f(x)}) \to (Z, c)\},\
$$

where $(X_y = f^{-1}y, a_y)$ is the domain of the pullback

$$
i_y: (X_y, a_y) \longrightarrow (X, a)
$$

of $y: (1, e_1^{\circ}) \to (Y, b)$ along f. Of course, p and q are projections, and ev is the evaluation map. We must find a structure $d:TP \rightarrow P$ which, together with a 2-cell η , will make these maps morphisms in $\text{Alg}(T, \mathbf{V})$.

For $(s, y) \in P$ and $\mathfrak{p} \in TP$, in order to define $d(\mathfrak{p}, (s, y))$, consider each pair $x \in X$ and $\mathfrak{q} \in TQ$ with $f(x) = y$ and $Tf'(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{p}$ and form the partial product

$$
c(\mathfrak{z}, s(x)) \xleftarrow{\tilde{\mathbf{ev}}_{\mathfrak{q}, x}} c(\mathfrak{z}, s(x))^{f_{\mathfrak{x}, x}} \times_b a(\mathfrak{x}, x) \longrightarrow a(\mathfrak{x}, x) \downarrow
$$

\n
$$
\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow f_{\mathfrak{x}, x}
$$

\n
$$
c(\mathfrak{z}, s(x))^{f_{\mathfrak{x}, x}} \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}_{\mathfrak{q}, x}} b(\mathfrak{y}, y)
$$
\n
$$
(3)
$$

in **V**, where $\mathfrak{z} = Tev(\mathfrak{q})$, and then the multiple pullback $d(\mathfrak{p},(s,y))$ of the morphisms $\tilde{p}_{\mathfrak{q},x}$ in **V**, as in:

2.6 We define the 2-cell $\eta: 1_P \to de_P$ componentwise. Let $(s, y) \in P$ and consider each $x \in X$ and $\mathfrak{q} \in TQ$ with $f(x) = y$ and $Tf'(\mathfrak{q}) = e_P(s, y) = T(s, y)e_1$ (where $(s, y) : 1 \to P$). Consider the pullback $j_y : X_y \to Q$ of $(s, y) : 1 \to P$ along f' in Set; whence, $j_y(x) = s(x)$. By (BC) there is $\mathfrak{x} \in TX_y$ such that $Tj_y(\mathfrak{x}) = \mathfrak{q}$ and $T!(\mathfrak{x}) = e_1(*)$ (where $! : X_y \to 1$ and $*$ is the only point of 1). Since $\text{ev}j_y = s$, we may form the diagram

$$
c(\mathfrak{z}, s(x)) \xleftarrow{s_{\mathfrak{x}, x}} a_{y}(\mathfrak{x}, x) \xrightarrow{(i_{y})_{\mathfrak{x}, x}} a(\mathfrak{x}, x) \downarrow
$$

$$
\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow f_{\mathfrak{x}, x}
$$

$$
I \xrightarrow{\eta_{y}} b(e_{Y}(y), y)
$$

in V, where $\mathfrak{z} = Tev(\mathfrak{q}) = Ts(\mathfrak{x})$, and the square is a pullback. The universal property of (3) guarantees the existence of $\tilde{\eta}_{\mathfrak{q},x} : I \to c(\mathfrak{z}, s(x))^{f_{\mathfrak{x},x}}$ such that $\tilde{p}_{\mathfrak{q},x} \tilde{\eta}_{\mathfrak{q},x} = \eta_y$ and $\tilde{\text{ev}}_{\mathfrak{q},x}(\tilde{\eta}_{\mathfrak{q},x} \times_b 1) =$ $s_{x,x}$. Then, with the multiple pullback property, the morphisms $\tilde{\eta}_{\mathfrak{q},x}$ define jointly $\eta_{(s,y)}: I \to$ $d(e_P(s, y), (s, y)).$

2.7 Theorem. *If the pointed* Set*-functor T satisfies (BC) and* V *is complete and locally cartesian closed, then also* Alg(*T,* V) *is locally cartesian closed.*

Proof. Continuing in the notation of 2.5 and 2.6, we equip *Q* with the lax algebra structure $r: TQ \rightarrow Q$ that makes the square of diagram (2) a pullback diagram in Alg(*T*, **V**). Then the 2-cell defined by

$$
r(\mathfrak{q},(s,x)) \stackrel{\pi_{\mathfrak{q},x} \times_b 1}{\longrightarrow} c(\mathfrak{z},s(x))^{f_{\mathfrak{x},x}} \times_b a(\mathfrak{x},x) \stackrel{\widetilde{\text{ev}}_{\mathfrak{q},x}}{\longrightarrow} c(\mathfrak{z},s(x))
$$

makes ev : $(Q, r) \rightarrow (Z, c)$ a homomorphism.

In order to prove the universal property of the partial product, given any other pair (*h* : $(L, u) \rightarrow (Y, b), k : (M, v) \rightarrow (Z, c)$, where $M := L \times_Y X$, we consider the map $t : L \rightarrow P$, defined by $t(l) := (s_l, h(l))$, with

$$
((X_{h(l)}, a_{h(l)})) \xrightarrow{s_l} (Z, c)) = ((X_{h(l)}, a_{h(l)}) \xrightarrow{j_l} (M, v) \xrightarrow{k} (Z, c)),
$$

where j_l is the pullback of $l : (1, e_1^{\circ}) \to (L, u)$ along $f'' : (M, v) \to (L, u)$. We remark that in the commutative diagram

every vertical face of the cube is a pullback in Set.

Now, for each $l \in L$ and $l \in L$ we define $t_{l,l} : u(l, l) \to d(Tt(l), t(l))$ componentwise. Since $\text{ev}t' = k$ we observe that *Tk* factors through the comparison map $\kappa : TM \to TL \times_{TP} TQ$, defined by the diagram

that is $Tk = (Tev)(Tt') = (Tev)\pi_2\kappa$. Since also kv factors through κ , i.e., $kv = k\tilde{v}\kappa$, with (BC) we conclude that the 2-cell $kv \rightarrow c(Tk)$ is of the form

For each $x \in X$ and $\mathfrak{q} \in TQ$ such that $f(x) = h(l)$ and $Tf'(\mathfrak{q}) = Tt(l)$, let $\mathfrak{m} \in TM$ be such that $(Tf'')(\mathfrak{m}) = \mathfrak{l}$ and $(Tt')(\mathfrak{m}) = \mathfrak{q}$. In the diagram

$$
c(\mathfrak{z}, s_l(x)) \xleftarrow{k_{\mathfrak{m},(l,x)}} v(\mathfrak{m}, (l,x)) \longrightarrow a(\mathfrak{x}, x)
$$

$$
\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow f_{\mathfrak{x},x}
$$

$$
u(\mathfrak{l}, l) \xrightarrow{h_{\mathfrak{l},l}} b(\mathfrak{y}, y)
$$

in V one has $\mathfrak{z} = (Tev)(\mathfrak{q})$ and the morphism $k_{\mathfrak{m},(l,x)}$ depends only on \mathfrak{q} and l. Moreover, the square is a pullback, hence there is a V-morphism $\tilde{t}_{i,l} : u(1,l) \to c(\mathfrak{z}, s_l(x))^{f_{\mathfrak{x},x}}$ such that $\tilde{p}_{\mathbf{q},x}\tilde{t}_{\mathbf{l},l} = h_{\mathbf{l},l}$ and $k_{\mathfrak{m},(l,x)}(\tilde{t}_{\mathbf{l},l} \times_b 1) = \tilde{\text{ev}}_{\mathbf{q},x}$. With the multiple pullback property, the morphisms $\tilde{t}_{\mathfrak{l},l}$ define the unique 2-cell that makes $t : (L, u) \to (P, d)$ a homomorphism.

If in the proof we take for (Y, b) the terminal object of Alg (T, V) , that is, the pair $(1, T)$ where the lax structure \top is constantly equal to the terminal object of **V**, we conclude:

2.8 Corollary. *If the pointed* Set*-functor T satisfies (BC) and* V *is complete and cartesian closed, then also* $\text{Alg}(T, V)$ *is cartesian closed.*

We explain now the strength of our Beck-Chevalley condition.

2.9 Proposition. For T and **V** as in 1.5, let $V(I, 0) = \emptyset$. Then:

- (a) *If T satisfies (BC), then T transforms pullbacks into weak pullbacks. The two conditions are actually equivalent when* V *is thin (i.e. a preordered class).*
- (b) If **V** is not thin, satisfaction of (BC) by T is equivalent to preservation of pullbacks by T.
- (c) If **V** is cartesian closed, with $I = 1$ the terminal object, then T satisfies (BC) if and only *if* $(Tf)^{\circ}Tg = Tk(Th)^{\circ}$, for every pullback diagram

$$
W \xrightarrow{k} X
$$

\n
$$
h \downarrow f
$$

\n
$$
Z \xrightarrow{g} Y
$$

\n(4)

in Set*.*

Proof. (a) Let $\kappa : TW \to U$ be the comparison map of diagram (1). By (BC) the 2-cell $\kappa \eta : \kappa \to \kappa \kappa^{\circ} \kappa$ is the image by $-\kappa$ of a 2-cell $\sigma : 1_U \to \kappa \kappa^{\circ}$. Hence, for each $u \in U$ there is a **V**-morphism $I \to \kappa \kappa^{\circ}(u, u) = \sum$ $\mathfrak{w}\in TW$: $\kappa(\mathfrak{w})=u$ $\kappa(\mathfrak{w}, u)$. Therefore the set $\{\mathfrak{w} \in TW \mid \kappa(\mathfrak{w}) = u\}$

cannot be empty, that is, κ is surjective.

If **V** is thin and κ is surjective, there is a (necessarily unique) 2-cell $1_U \rightarrow \kappa \kappa^{\circ}$. Then each 2-cell $\psi : \kappa r \to \kappa s$ induces a 2-cell $\varphi : r \to s$ defined by

$$
r \xrightarrow{r\sigma} r\kappa\kappa^{\circ} \xrightarrow{\psi\kappa^{\circ}} s\kappa\kappa^{\circ} \xrightarrow{s\varepsilon} s
$$

whose image under $-\kappa$ is necessarily ψ .

(b) If *T* preserves pullbacks, then κ is an isomorphism and (BC) holds.

Conversely, let *T* satisfy (BC) and let $\kappa : TW \to U$ be a comparison map as in (1). We consider $\mathfrak{w}_0, \mathfrak{w}_1 \in TW$ with $\kappa(\mathfrak{w}_0) = \kappa(\mathfrak{w}_1)$ and **V**-morphisms $\alpha, \beta : v \to v'$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$, and define $r: U \times U \to \mathbf{V}$ by $r(u, u') = v$ and $s: U \times U \to \mathbf{V}$ by $s(u, u') = v'$. The 2-cell $\psi: r \kappa \to s \kappa$, with $\psi_{\mathfrak{w},u} = \alpha$ if $\mathfrak{w} = \mathfrak{w}_0$ and $\psi_{\mathfrak{w},u} = \beta$ elsewhere, factors through κ only if $\mathfrak{w}_0 = \mathfrak{w}_1$.

(c) For any commutative diagram (4) there is a 2-cell $kh^{\circ} \to f^{\circ}g$, defined by

$$
kh^{\circ} \xrightarrow{\eta kh^{\circ}} f^{\circ} f kh^{\circ} = f^{\circ} g hh^{\circ} \xrightarrow{f^{\circ} g \varepsilon} f^{\circ} g,
$$

which is an identity morphism in case the diagram is a pullback.

If *T* satisfies (BC) and **V** is not thin, the equality $Tk(Th)^\circ = (Tf)^\circ Tg$ follows from (b). If V is thin, then in the diagram (1) the 2-cell $\sigma: 1 \to \kappa \kappa^{\circ}$ considered in (a) gives rise to a 2-cell

$$
(Tf)^{\circ}Tg = \pi_2\pi_1^{\circ} \xrightarrow{\pi_2\sigma\pi_1^{\circ}} \pi_2\kappa\kappa^{\circ}\pi_1^{\circ} = Tk(Th)^{\circ},
$$

and the equality follows.

Conversely, the equality $(Tf)^{\circ}Tg = Tk(Th)^{\circ}$ guarantees the surjectivity of κ , hence (BC) follows in case V is thin, by (a). If V is not thin, we first observe that a coproduct $\sum I$ is isomorphic to *I* only if *X* is a singleton, due to the cartesian closedness of **V**. Now, $(Tf)^{\circ}Tg =$ $Tk(Th)$ ^o means that, for every $\mathfrak{z} \in TZ$ and $\mathfrak{x} \in TX$ with $Tg(\mathfrak{z}) = Tf(\mathfrak{x})$,

$$
I = Tf(\mathfrak{x}, Tg(\mathfrak{z})) = Tf^{\circ}Tg(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{x}) = TkTh^{\circ}(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{x}) = \sum \{ I \mid \mathfrak{w} \in TW \ : \ Tk(\mathfrak{w}) = \mathfrak{x} \ \& \ Th(\mathfrak{w}) = \mathfrak{z} \}.
$$

From this equality we conclude that there exists exactly one such \mathfrak{w} , i.e. $TW = TZ \times_{TY} TX$. \Box

2.10 Finally we remark that, in some circumstances, the 2-categorical part of (BC) is essential for local cartesian-closedness of $\text{Alg}(T, V)$. Indeed, if V is extensive [4], T transforms pullback diagrams into weak pullback diagrams and $\text{Alg}(T, V)$ is locally cartesian closed, then T satisfies (BC), as we show next. To check (BC) we consider a 2-cell $\psi : r\kappa \to s\kappa$, with $\kappa : TW \to U$ the comparison map of diagram (1) and $r, s: U \to S$. We need to check that $\psi = \varphi \kappa$ for a unique 2-cell $\varphi : r \to s$. This 2-cell exists, and it is unique if and only if

$$
\forall \mathfrak{w}_0, \mathfrak{w}_1 \in TW \ \forall s \in S \ \kappa(\mathfrak{w}_0) = \kappa(\mathfrak{w}_1) \ \Rightarrow \ \psi_{\mathfrak{w}_0, s} = \psi_{\mathfrak{w}_1, s}.
$$

For $v := r(\kappa(\mathfrak{w}_0), s)$ and $v' := s(\kappa(\mathfrak{w}_0), s)$, and $\alpha := \psi_{\mathfrak{w}_0, s}$ and $\beta = \psi_{\mathfrak{w}_1, s}$, we want to show that $\alpha = \beta$.

For that, in the pullback diagram (4) we consider structures *a*, *b*, *c*, *d*, on *X*, *Y* , *Z* and *W* respectively, constantly equal to $I + v$, with $\eta : I \to I + v$ the coproduct injection. For *d'* constantly equal to $I + v'$, in the diagram

$$
(W, d') \xleftarrow{\text{(id,}\varepsilon)} (W, d) \xrightarrow{(k,1)} (X, a)
$$

$$
(h, 1) \downarrow \qquad \qquad (f, 1)
$$

$$
(Z, c) \xrightarrow{(g, 1)} (Y, b)
$$

we define ε by:

$$
\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{w},w} = \begin{cases} 1+\alpha & \text{if } \mathfrak{w} = \mathfrak{w}_0, \\ 1+\beta & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}
$$

The square is a pullback. Hence the morphism (id, ε) factors through the partial product via $t \times_Y$ id, with $t : Z \to P$. Since the 2-cell of $t \times_Y$ id is obtained by a pullback construction and $\kappa(\mathfrak{w}_0) = \kappa(\mathfrak{w}_1)$, its 2-cell "identifies" \mathfrak{w}_0 and \mathfrak{w}_1 , hence $\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{w}_0,w} = \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{w}_1,w}$, that is, $1 + \alpha = 1 + \beta$. Therefore $\alpha = \beta$, by extensitivity of **V**.

3 (Co)completeness of the category Alg(*T,* V)

3.1 We assume V to be complete and cocomplete. The construction of limits in $\text{Alg}(T, V)$ reduces to a combined construction of limits in Set and V, as we show next.

The limit of a functor

$$
F: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \text{Alg}(T, \mathbf{V})
$$

$$
D \mapsto (FD, a_D)
$$

$$
D \xrightarrow{f} E \rightarrow (FD, a_D) \xrightarrow{Ff} (FE, a_E)
$$

is constructed in two steps.

First we consider the composition of *F* with the forgetful functor into Set

$$
\mathbf{D} \xrightarrow{F} \mathrm{Alg}(T, \mathbf{V}) \xrightarrow{\qquad} \mathbf{Set}, \tag{5}
$$

and construct its limit in Set

$$
(L \xrightarrow{p^D} FD)_{D \in \mathbf{D}}.
$$

Then, we define the (T, V) -algebra structure $a: TL \nrightarrow L$, that is the map $a: TX \times X \rightarrow V$, pointwise. For every $l \in TL$ and $l \in L$, we consider now the functor

$$
F_{\mathfrak{l},l} : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}
$$

\n
$$
D \rightarrow a_D(Tp^D(\mathfrak{l}), p^D(\mathfrak{l}))
$$

\n
$$
D \stackrel{f}{\rightarrow} E \rightarrow a_D(Tp^D(\mathfrak{l}), p^D(\mathfrak{l})) \stackrel{Ff_{Tp^D(\mathfrak{l}), p^D(\mathfrak{l})}}{\longrightarrow} a_E(Tp^E(\mathfrak{l}), p^E(\mathfrak{l}))
$$

and its limit in V

$$
(a(\mathfrak{l},l) \xrightarrow{p_{\mathfrak{l},l}^{D}} a_D(Tp^D(\mathfrak{l}),p^D(l)))_{D \in \mathbf{D}}.
$$

This equips $p^D : (L, a) \to (FD, a_D)$ with a 2-cell $p^D a \to a_D T p^D$.

By construction

$$
(L, a) \xrightarrow{p^D} (FD, a_D) \tag{6}
$$

is a cone for *F*. To check that it is a limit, let

$$
(Y,b) \xrightarrow{g^D} (FD, a_D)
$$

be a cone for *F*. By construction of (L, p^D) , there exists a map $t : Y \to L$ such that $p^D t = q^D$ for each $D \in \mathbf{D}$. For each $\mathfrak{y} \in TY$ and $y \in Y$,

$$
b(\mathfrak{y},y) \xrightarrow{g_{\mathfrak{y},y}^D} a_D(Tp^D(Tt(\mathfrak{y})),p^D(t(y)))
$$

is a cone for the functor $F_{Tt(\eta),t(\eta)}$. Hence, by construction of $a(Tt(\eta),t(\eta))$, there exists a unique **V**-morphism $t_{\eta,y}$ making the diagram

$$
a(Tt(\mathfrak{y}), t(y)) \xrightarrow{\begin{subarray}{l}p_{\mathfrak{y},y}^D\\ t_{\mathfrak{y},y} \end{subarray}} a_D(Tp^D(Tt(\mathfrak{y})), p^D(t(y)))
$$
\n
$$
b(\mathfrak{y}, y) \xrightarrow{\begin{subarray}{l}q_{\mathfrak{y},y}^D\\ t_{\mathfrak{y},y} \end{subarray}}
$$

commutative. These **V**-morphisms define pointwise the unique 2-cell $gb \rightarrow p^D a$.

For each $l \in L$, $\eta_l : I \to a(e_L(l), l)$ is the morphism induced by the cone

$$
(\eta_{p(D(l),p(D(l))}^D: I \to a_D(\exp(p^D(l)), p^D(l)))_{D \in \mathbf{D}}.
$$

3.2 Cocompleteness. To construct the colimit of a functor $F : D \to Alg(T, V)$ we first proceed analogously to the limit construction. That is, we form the colimit in Set

$$
(FD \xrightarrow{i^D} Q)_{D \in \mathbf{D}}
$$

of the functor (5).

To construct the structure $c: TQ \rightarrow Q$, for each $q \in TQ$ and $q \in Q$, we consider the functor $F^{\mathfrak{q},q}: \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{V}$, with

$$
F^{\mathfrak{q},q}(D) = \sum \{ a_D(\mathfrak{x},x) | Ti^D(\mathfrak{x}) = \mathfrak{q}, i^D(x) = q \},
$$

and, for $f: D \to E$, the morphism $F^{q,q}(f): F^{q,q}(D) \to F^{q,q}(E)$ is induced by

$$
a_D(\mathfrak{x},x) \xrightarrow{Ff_{\mathfrak{x},x}} a_E(Tf(\mathfrak{x}),f(x)) \longrightarrow \sum \{a_E(\mathfrak{y},y) \,|\, Ti^E(\mathfrak{y}) = \mathfrak{q},\, i^E(y) = q \} = F^{\mathfrak{q},q}(E).
$$

and denote by $\tilde{c}(\mathfrak{q},q)$ the colimit of $F^{\mathfrak{q},q}$. If $\mathfrak{q} \neq e_Q(q)$ for $q \in Q$, then $\tilde{c}(\mathfrak{q},q)$ is in fact the structure $c(\mathfrak{q}, q)$ on the colimit. For $\mathfrak{q} = e_Q(q)$, the multiple pushout

defines $c(e_Q(q), q)$, with $D \in \mathbf{D}$ and $x \in FD$ such that $i^D(x) = q$.

4 Representability of partial morphisms

4.1 Let S be a pullback-stable class of morphisms of a category C. An S*-partial map from X to Y* is a pair ($X \xrightarrow{s} U \longrightarrow Y$) where $s \in S$. We say that S *has a classifier* if there is a morphism true : $1 \rightarrow \tilde{1}$ in S such that every morphism in S is, in a unique way, a pullback of true; C has S-partial map classifiers if, for every $Y \in \mathbb{C}$, there is a morphism true_Y : $Y \to \tilde{Y}$ in S such that every S-partial map ($X \xrightarrow{s} U \longrightarrow Y$) from X to Y can be uniquely completed so that the diagram

$$
U \longrightarrow Y
$$

s

$$
X -- \rightarrow \tilde{Y}.
$$

is a pullback.

From Corollary 4.6 of [10] it follows that:

4.2 Proposition. *If* S *is a pullback-stable class of morphisms in a finitely complete locally cartesian-closed category* C*, then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) S *has a classifier;*
- (ii) C *has* S*-partial map classifiers.*

4.3 Our goal is to investigate whether the category $\text{Alg}(T, V)$ has S-partial map classifiers, for the class S of extremal monomorphisms. For that we first observe:

4.4 Lemma. An $\text{Alg}(T, V)$ -morphism $s : (U, c) \rightarrow (X, a)$ is an extremal monomorphism if and *only if the map* $s: U \to X$ *is injective and, for each* $\mathfrak{u} \in TU$ *and* $u \in U$ *,* $s_{\mathfrak{u},u}: c(\mathfrak{u},u) \to a(\mathfrak{x},x)$ *is an isomorphism in* V*.*

4.5 Proposition. *In* Alg(*T,* V) *the class of extremal monomorphisms has a classifier.*

Proof. For $\tilde{1} = (1 + 1, \tilde{T})$, where \tilde{T} is pointwise terminal, we consider the inclusion true : $1 \rightarrow \tilde{1}$ onto the first summand. For every extremal monomorphism $s : (U, c) \rightarrow (X, a)$, we define $\chi_U : (X, a) \to \tilde{1}$ with $\chi_U : X \to 1 + 1$ the characteristic map of $s(U)$, and the 2-cell constantly \therefore *a*($(x, x) \rightarrow 1$. Then the diagram below

$$
(U, s) \xrightarrow{!} 1
$$

s

$$
(X, a) \xrightarrow{XU} \mathbf{1}
$$
true

$$
(X, a) \xrightarrow{XU} \mathbf{1}.
$$

is a pullback diagram; it is in fact the unique possible diagram that presents *s* as a pullback of true. \Box

Using Theorem 2.7 and Proposition 4.5, we conclude that:

4.6 Theorem. *If the pointed* Set*-functor T satisfies (BC) and* V *is a complete and cocomplete locally cartesian closed category, then* Alg(*T,* V) *is a quasitopos.*

4.7 Remark. Representability of (extremal mono)-partial maps can also be proved directly, and in this way one obtains a slight improvement of Theorem 4.6: $\text{Alg}(T, V)$ is a quasi-topos whenever *T* satisfies (BC) and **V** is a complete and cocomplete cartesian closed category, not necessarily locally so.

5 Examples.

5.1 We start off with the trivial functor *T* which maps every set to a terminal object 1 of Set. *T* preserves pullbacks. Choosing for *I* the top element of any (complete) lattice **V** we obtain with $\text{Alg}(T, V)$ nothing but the topos **Set**. This shows that local cartesian closedness of V is

not a necessary condition for local cartesian closedness of $\text{Alg}(T, V)$. We also note that T does not carry the structure of a monad.

If, for the same *T*, we choose $V = Set$, then $Alg(T, Set)$ is the formal coproduct completion of the category \textbf{Set}_* of pointed sets, i.e. $\text{Alg}(T, \textbf{Set}) \cong \text{Fam}(\textbf{Set}_*)$.

5.2 Let $T = Id$, $e = id$. Considering for **V** as in [9] the two-element chain 2, the extended half-line $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0,\infty]$ (with the natural order reversed), and the category **Set**, one obtains with $\text{Alg}(T, V)$ the category of

- sets with a reflexive relation
- sets with a fuzzy reflexive relation
- reflexive directed graphs,

respectively.

More generally, if we let $TX = X^n$ for a non-negative integer *n*, with the same choices for V one obtains

- $-$ sets with a reflexive $(n + 1)$ -ary relation
- $-$ sets with a fuzzy reflexive $(n + 1)$ -ary relation
- reflexive directed "multigraphs" given by sets of vertices and of edges, with an edge having an ordered *n*-tuple of vertices as its source and a single edge as its target; reflexivity means that there is a distinguished edge $(x, \dots, x) \rightarrow x$ for each vertex *x*.

Note that the case $n = 0$ encompasses Example 5.1.

5.3 For a fixed monoid *M*, let *T* belong to the monad T arising from the adjunction

$$
\mathbf{Set}^{M\frac{\prec\cdot}{\textstyle\perp_\succ}}\mathbf{Set},
$$

i.e. $TX = M \times X$ with $e_X(x) = (0, x)$, with 0 neutral in M (writing the composition in M additively). *T* preserves pullbacks. The quasitopos $\text{Alg}(T, \text{Set})$ may be described as follows. Its objects are "*M*-normed reflexive graphs", given by a set *X* of vertices and sets $a(x, y)$ of edges from *x* to *y* which come with a "norm" $v_{x,y}: a(x,y) \to M$ for all $x,y \in X$; there is a distinguished edge $1_x : x \to x$ with $v_{x,x}(1_x) = 0$. Morphisms must preserve the norm. Of course, for trivial *M* we are back to directed graphs as in 5.2.

It is interesting to note that if one forms $\text{Alg}(\mathsf{T}, \mathsf{Set})$ for the (untruncted) monad T (see [9]), then Alg(T, Set) is precisely the comma category \mathbf{Cat}/M , where M is considered a one-object category; its objects are categories which come with a norm function v for morphisms satisfying $v(gf) = v(g) + v(f)$ for composable morphisms f, g .

5.4 Let $T = U$ be the ultrafilter functor, as mentioned in the Introduction. U transforms pullbacks into weak pullback diagrams. Hence, for $V = 2$ we obtain with $\text{Alg}(T, 2)$ the quasitopos of pseudotopological spaces, and for $\mathbf{V} = \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ the quasitopos of (what should be called) quasiapproach spaces (see [9, 8]). If we choose for **V** the extensive category **Set**, then the resulting category $\text{Alg}(U, \mathbf{Set})$ is a rather naturally defined supercategory of the category of ultracategories (as defined in [9]) but fails to be locally cartesian closed, according to 2.9(b) and 2.10.

References

- [1] J. Ad´amek, H. Herrlich and G.E. Strecker, *Abstract and concrete categories* (Wiley Interscience, New York 1990).
- [2] M. Barr, Relational algebras, in: *Springer Lecture Notes in Math.* 137 (1970), pp. 39-55.
- [3] A. Carboni, G.M. Kelly, D. Verity and R. Wood, A 2-categorical approach to change of base and geometric morphisms II, *Theory Appl. Categories* 4 (1998) 82-136.
- [4] A. Carboni, S. Lack and R.F.C. Walters, Introduction to extensive and distributive categories, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 84 (1993) 145-158.
- [5] M. M. Clementino and D. Hofmann, Triquotient maps via ultrafilter convergence, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 130 (2002) 3423-3431.
- [6] M. M. Clementino and D. Hofmann, Topological features of lax algebras, *Applied Cat. Struct.* (to appear).
- [7] M. M. Clementino, D. Hofmann and W. Tholen, The convergence approach to exponentiable maps, *Port. Math.* (to appear).
- [8] M. M. Clementino, D. Hofmann and W. Tholen, One setting for all: metric, topology, uniformity, approach structure, *Appl. Categ. Structures* (to appear).
- [9] M. M. Clementino and W. Tholen, Metric, Topology and Multicategory A common approach, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* (to appear).
- [10] R. Dyckhoff and W. Tholen, Exponentiable morphisms, partial products and pullback complements, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 49 (1987) 103-116.
- [11] H. Herrlich, E. Lowen-Colebunders and F. Schwarz, Improving Top: PrTop and PsTop, in: *Category Theory at Work* (Heldermann Verlag, Berlin 1991), pp 21-34.
- [12] F.W. Lawvere, Metric spaces, generalized logic, and closed categories, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano* 43 (1973) 135-166.
- [13] S. Mac Lane, *Categories for the Working Mathematician*, 2nd. ed. (Springer, New York 1998).
- [14] J. Reiterman and W. Tholen, Effective descent maps of topological spaces, *Top. Appl.* 57 (1994), 53-69.
- [15] R. Street, Categorical structures, in: *Handbook of Algebra*, vol.1 (editor M. Hazewinkel) (Elsevier, Amsterdam 1996), pp. 529-577.

